From:	Boroja, Maria
To:	Chris Servheen
Cc:	Jennifer Fortin-Noreus; Justin Shoemaker; Seth Willey; Marjorie Nelson; Nicole Alt
Subject:	Fwd: FW: Statement of Work
Date:	Tuesday, March 22, 2016 3:36:48 PM
Attachments:	FINALSOW GYE_Griz_prop delist_March 18 2016_srm.doc NSOCH2012_PRletter__13march2012_SRC (1).doc

---

FYI - attached are comments from Matt and Region 1. Please let me know if you have any questions.

---------- Forwarded message ----------
From: **Matt Hogan** <Matt_Hogan@fws.gov>
Date: Tue, Mar 22, 2016 at 2:47 PM
Subject: FW: Statement of Work
To: Maria Boroja <maria_boroja@fws.gov>
Cc: Marjorie Nelson <marjorie_nelson@fws.gov>, Nicole Alt <nicole_alt@fws.gov>

Maria,

Marj shared the SOW for GB peer review on Friday. I told her I would have any comments early this week. I sent her the attached but see she is out until Thursday so wanted to get it to you as I sensed folks were anxious to keep this moving. Thanks.

From: Matt Hogan [mailto:Matt_Hogan@fws.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, March 22, 2016 2:42 PM
To: Marjorie Nelson
Subject: FW: Statement of Work

Marj,

I shared this with R1 as I knew they had some expertise in these and Terry provided the following comments which make sense to me. I have no additional comments. Thanks.

Matt

From: Rabot, Theresa [mailto:theresa_rabot@fws.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, March 22, 2016 1:07 PM
To: Matt Hogan
Subject: Fwd: Statement of Work

Matt -

I shared the SOW with Steve, he's head of our science support branch and we've worked together a lot on peer review in general and for specific projects. I think you'll find his comments useful.

You'll see in his comments he raised concerns about being overly exclusive on qualified peer reviewers. I agree with his point, although I recognize that may be easier said than done. I also noted the proposed rule has a statement about potential peer reviewers, that you may or may not be able to find some flexibility around.

For northern spotted owl critical habitat (arguably as controversial as this if not more so), we took a very inclusive approach, and received around 40 peer reviews. This allows an open discussion of the differences between experts but it also includes pretty intensive staff work to address,which Paul Henson was willing to do. I've attached our letter to the peer reviewers for the owl rule; you'll note we asked a lot of yes or no questions, which Steve suggests we stay away from and modified the GYE SOW accordingly. You'll also note we asked members of the technical committee to review.

Again either approach works - food for thought only. Thanks for the opportunity to review, hope you find the comments useful.

---------- Forwarded message ----------
From: **Morey, Steven** <steven_morey@fws.gov>
Date: Tue, Mar 22, 2016 at 10:27 AM
Subject: Statement of Work
To: Theresa Rabot <theresa_rabot@fws.gov>

In the attached file you'll find some comments and suggestions on the statement of work. I think I see a few places where we can improve the usefulness of the review. I've noted these with comments in the margins, and where I took a stab at a possible revision, I did so in red-line-strikeout within the body. These are just my opinions but I'm happy to discuss or clarify any of them if that would be helpful.

--

Steve Morey

USFWS

911 NE 11th Ave

Portland, OR 97232

(503) 231-6108

--

Terry Rabot

Deputy Regional Director, Pacific Region One

Idaho, Oregon, Washington, Hawaii & Pacific Islands

U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service

911 NE 11th Avenue

Portland, OR 97232

503.231.6122

theresa_rabot@fws.gov

--

Maria T. Boroja
Regional Environmental Contaminants Coordinator
Chief Landscape Conservation and Restoration Branch
Mountain-Prairie Region
U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service
134 Union Blvd.
Lakewood, CO 80228
Office: (303)236-4518
Spills & Hazards: (720)545-6455
maria_boroja@fws.gov